

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/637,894	04/25/1996	GEORGE TASH	P-2127-40	5079	
7	590 11/03/2003		EXAMINER		
LYON, HARR & DEFRANK			FETSUGA, ROBERT M		
300 ESPLANADA DRIVE SUITE 800			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
OXNARD, CA	A 93030		3751	·-·	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2003

35

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_			^ ^
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	N V (
Advisory Action	08/637,894	TASH, GEORGE	
navicely nation	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert M. Fetsuga	3751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 06 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ( condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this app (1) a timely filed amendment w eal (with appeal fee); or (3) a ti	ilication. A proper rep hich places the applic	ly to a ation in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later t ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The d have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF Tale on which the petition under 37 CFR in sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set	e of the final rejection.  HE FINAL REJECTION. So  1.136(a) and the appropriate the fee. The appropriate extended in the final Office action; or (	ee MPEP extension fee ension fee under 2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or searcl	n (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	:	
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n in better form for appeal by m	aterially reducing or s	implifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number o	of finally rejected clain	ns.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	a separate, timely filed	l amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: _		onsidered but does NC	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEI	_Y to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims versions.			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: 7 and 8.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6 and 9-17</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. $\boxtimes$ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>06 Octors</u> Examiner.	<u>ober 2003</u> is a)⊠ approved or	b) disapproved by	y the
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s	) <u>:</u>	•
10.☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	N	Robert M. Fetsuga Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3751	$\nearrow$



Continuation of 10. Other: The proposed specification changes are inconsistent with the drawing figures present in the application. The amendment will not be entered. Appellant;s brief has been received..